Light-induced valley currents and magnetization in graphene rings

A. S. Moskalenko* and J. Berakdar

Institut für Physik, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Nanotechnikum-Weinberg,

Heinrich-Damerow-St. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany

(Received 8 October 2009; published 12 November 2009)

We study the nonequilibrium dynamics in a mesoscopic graphene ring excited by picoseconds shaped electromagnetic pulses. We predict an ultrafast buildup of charge polarization, currents, and orbital magnetization. Applying the light pulses identified here, nonequilibrium valley currents are generated in a graphene ring threaded by a stationary magnetic flux. We predict a finite graphene ring magnetization even for a vanishing charge current; the magnetization emerges due to the light-induced difference of the valley populations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.80.193407

PACS number(s): 73.63.-b, 73.22.Gk, 73.23.-b, 81.05.Uw

Introduction. Since the recent fabrication of graphene, a monolayer of carbon, a number of fascinating phenomena have been uncovered, mostly owing to the quasirelativistic behavior of the carriers and their high mobility.¹⁻⁵ Two σ -bonded interpenetrating triangular sublattices, A and B, build the graphene honeycomb lattice. The π and π^* bands govern the electronic properties near the neutrality point and result in conical valleys touching at the high symmetry points K and K' of the Brillouin zone (BZ). Near K and K', the energy dispersion is linear and the electronic properties are well-described by the effective Dirac-Weyl Hamiltonian H_0 .^{2-4,6} The stationary states are degenerate in the spin S and the valley quantum numbers $\tau = \pm 1$. The latter correspond to the two nonequivalent K points in BZ. Due to the suppressed intervalley scattering, the control of τ eigenstates may be utilized for electronic^{7,8} and optoelectronic applications.⁹ Interesting physical effects emerge due to confinement. E.g., in a mesoscopic graphene rings pierced by a magnetic flux, the ring confinement breaks the valley degeneracy and results in the persistent current.¹⁰ Experimentally, such graphene rings were fabricated and the Aharonov-Bohm effect was observed.¹¹

While a large body of work has been devoted to various equilibrium electronic and optical properties, the nonequilibrium time-dependent phenomena in graphene are much less explored.^{12,13} The present Brief Report presents a study on the nonequilibrium dynamics in graphene rings driven by asymmetric monocycle electromagnetic pulses. Charge polarization and current carrying states build up within picoseconds and are tunable by the parameters of the driving field. The states may become valley polarized resulting in a non-equilibrium valley currents. The valley population together with the charge current determines the magnetization of the ring.

Stationary states. We consider a graphene ring¹⁰ of radius r_0 and width W [cf. Figure 2(a)] threaded by a magnetic flux of a strength Φ . As in Refs. 10, 14, and 15, the Dirac electrons are confined to the ring by the potential $\tau V(r)\sigma_z$ at the boundaries, as resulting, e.g., from a substrate potential.^{16,17} The polar-coordinates ring Hamiltonian is¹⁸

$$H = -i\hbar v \left[\sigma_r \partial_r + \sigma_\phi \frac{1}{r} (\partial_\phi + i\tilde{\Phi}) \right] + \tau V(r) \sigma_z, \qquad (1)$$

where $\sigma_r = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{e}_r$ and $\sigma_{\phi} = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{e}_{\phi}$ with \vec{e}_r and \vec{e}_{ϕ} being the basis vectors of the polar-coordinate system, and σ_z is the Pauli

matrix expressed in the pseudospin states of the two sublattices. $v=10^6$ m/s is the Fermi velocity, and $\tilde{\Phi}=\Phi/\Phi_0$, where Φ_0 is the flux quantum. The eigenstates of *H* and J_z (the *z* component of the total angular momentum with eigenvalues *m*) are

$$\psi_m(r,\phi) = R_+(r)e^{i(m-1/2)\phi}\chi_+ + R_-(r)e^{i(m+1/2)\phi}\chi_-, \qquad (2)$$

where $m = \pm 1/2, \pm 3/2,...$ and $\sigma_z \chi_{\pm} = \pm 1/2 \chi_{\pm}$. The general form for the radial parts is $R_+(r) = c_1 H_{\overline{m}-1/2}^{(1)}(\tilde{r}) + c_2 H_{\overline{m}-1/2}^{(2)}(\tilde{r})$ and $R_-(r) = is[c_1 H_{\overline{m}+1/2}^{(1)}(\tilde{r}) + c_2 H_{\overline{m}+1/2}^{(2)}(\tilde{r})]$, where $\tilde{r} = r|E|/v$ is a normalized radial coordinate, $H_m^{(1)[(2)]}$ is the Hankel function of the first [second] kind, $s = \operatorname{sgn}(E)$ selects the solution of the positive or the negative energy branch, and $\overline{m} = m + \tilde{\Phi}$. The boundary conditions and the normalization fix the coefficients c_1 and c_2 . For V(r) = 0 if $r \in (r_0 - W/2, r_0 + W/2)$, and $V(r) \to +\infty$ outside the ring¹⁰ we find $\psi = \pm \tau \sigma_{\phi} \psi$ at $r = r_0 \pm W/2$. With this, Eq. (2) can be solved numerically or for $W/r_0 \ll 1$ analytically,¹⁰ yielding the spectrum

$$E_{nm}^{s\tau} = s\varepsilon_n + s\lambda_n [m + \tilde{\Phi}_{\rm eff}^{s\tau n}]^2 - \frac{s\lambda_n}{4\pi^2(n+1/2)^2}, \qquad (3)$$

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\hbar \upsilon}{W} (n+1/2), \ \lambda_n = \frac{\hbar \upsilon}{W} \left(\frac{W}{r_0}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\pi (2n+1)}.$$
(4)

n=0,1,2,..., and $\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{eff}}^{sm} = \tilde{\Phi} - s\tau/[(2n+1)\pi]$. For fixed s,τ , and *n* the quantity $\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{eff}}^{sm}$ modifies the energy spectrum as an effective (normalized) magnetic flux. The shift in the effective magnetic flux from $\tilde{\Phi}$ has a different sign depending on the valley $(\tau=\pm 1)$. For $W/r_0 \leq 1$, we find

$$R_{+,n}^{s\tau}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Wr_0}} \cos\left[\left(n + 1/2 \right) \pi \tilde{r}' - \frac{\tau}{4} \pi \right], \tag{5}$$

$$R^{s\tau}_{-,n}(r) = \frac{is}{\sqrt{Wr_0}} \sin\left[(n+1/2)\pi \tilde{r}' - \frac{\tau}{4}\pi \right], \tag{6}$$

where $\tilde{r}' = (r - r_0 + \frac{W}{2})/W \in (0, 1)$. For applications involving tunneling from the ring, it is important to inspect the case of a finite barrier boundary, i.e., $V = V_0$ for *r* outside of the ring. To a first order of $\gamma \equiv \hbar v/(WV) \ll 1$ we find that Eq. (4)

applies with ε_n being replaced by $(1-\gamma)\varepsilon_n$ and λ_n by $(1+\gamma)\lambda_n$. For $W=0.1 \ \mu$ m, the condition $\gamma \ll 1$ means $V \gg 7$ meV. Hence, our theory developed below is valid also for a finite barrier graphene ring. In a particular example of the boron nitride substrate we have V=53 meV (Ref. 19) and therefore $\gamma=0.13$. Having specified the stationary single-particle states we proceed with the nonequilibrium calculations.²⁰

Pulse-induced polarization. To drive the nonequilibrium states in graphene rings, we utilize asymmetric monocycle pulses, so-called half-cycle pulses (HCPs).^{21,22} For pulse duration τ_p shorter than the carriers characteristic time scale,²³ the impulsive approximation (IA) applies, meaning that the time-dependent carrier wave function $\Psi(r,\varphi;t)$ propagates stroboscopically as²⁴

$$\Psi(r,\varphi;t^{+}) = \Psi(r,\varphi;t^{-})e^{i\vec{\alpha}\vec{e}_{r}};$$
$$\vec{\alpha} = \frac{r_{0}\vec{p}}{\hbar}, \quad \vec{p} = e\int \vec{F}(t)dt, \tag{7}$$

where t^- and t^+ refer to times before and after the application of the pulse and $\vec{\alpha}$ is the action delivered to a ring carrier of charge *e* by the HCP electric field F(t). The pulse triggers a time-dependent carrier density distribution which depends on $\tau = \pm 1$, i.e., it is different for the two valleys. As a physical consequence, a time-dependent charge dipole moment is created in the ring. For the post-pulse dipole moment $\mu_{m_0}^{\tau_0}(t)$ associated with a carrier starting from the stationary state τ $= \tau_0$ and $m = m_0$ we find²⁵

$$\mu_{m_0}^{\tau_0}(t) = er_0 \alpha h(\Omega) \sin \frac{t}{t_0} \cos \left[2(m_0 + \Phi_{\text{eff}}^{\tau_0}) \frac{t}{t_0} \right], \qquad (8)$$

where $t_0 = \hbar/\lambda_0$, $h(\Omega) = J_0(\Omega) + J_2(\Omega)$, $J_l(x)$ denotes the Bessel function of the order *l*, and $\Omega = \alpha \sqrt{2[1 - \cos(2t/t_0)]}$. The total electric dipole created in the ring for a fixed τ , spin value *S*, and t > 0 is $\mu^{\tau}(t) = \sum_m f_m^{\tau} \mu_m^{\tau}(t)$, where f_m^{τ} is the equilibrium distribution function. For N_{τ} carriers in a given valley τ at zero temperature T=0 we carried out the summation over *m* analytically. For an arbitrary even or odd N_{τ} we find, respectively,

$$\mu_{\text{even}}^{\tau}(t) = er_0 \alpha h(\Omega) \cos\left[\frac{2t}{t_0} \left(|\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{eff}}^{\tau}| - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \sin\frac{N_{\tau}t}{t_0},$$
$$\mu_{\text{odd}}^{\tau}(t) = er_0 \alpha h(\Omega) \cos\left[\frac{2t}{t_0}\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{eff}}^{\tau}\right] \sin\frac{N_{\tau}t}{t_0}.$$
(9)

Both expressions apply for $\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{eff}}^{\tau} = \tilde{\Phi} - \tau/\pi \in [-1/2, 1/2]$, outside of this interval $\mu^{s\tau}(t)$ is determined from the periodicity in $\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{eff}}^{\tau}$ with a period 1. The total dipole moment $\mu(t)$ depends on the distribution of the carriers between the valleys that in turn depends on the magnetic flux $\tilde{\Phi}$. The spin degeneracy is also important. One can show that jumps in the population of particular states take place only at the points $\tilde{\Phi}=-1/2,-1/\pi,-r,0,r,1/\pi,1/2$ for $\tilde{\Phi}$ in the interval [-1/2,1/2], where we denote $r=1/2-1/\pi$. The dynamics of the dipole moment for N=8 carriers is shown in Fig. 1 as



FIG. 1. (Color online) Dependence of the dipole moment generated in the graphene ring on the time past after the excitation and the normalized magnetic flux $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi/\Phi_0 \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ (outside of this range the periodicity by $\tilde{\Phi} \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi} + 1$ can be used) in the case of 8 carriers in the ring at T=0 for (a) $\alpha=1$ and (b) $\alpha=5$.

a function of the applied stationary magnetic flux for two different excitation strengths $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 5$, showing that the ring electric dipole and hence the associated light emission are controllable by Φ and α . For $r_0=1$ μ m and HCPs with a sine-square shape and a time duration of 0.5 ps, $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the peak value of electric field F = 26 V/cm.

Note, the boundary conditions break the effective timereversal symmetry¹⁰ making the states corresponding to different τ but otherwise to the same quantum numbers nondegenerate. The dynamics of the charge polarization for confined carriers is, however, the same in both τ valleys for $\Phi=0$. This follows from the invariance of the states under $\tau \rightarrow -\tau$, and $m \rightarrow -m$ at $\tilde{\Phi}=0$, as evidenced by Eq. (9). This degeneracy is lifted by applying a stationary magnetic flux $\tilde{\Phi} \neq 0$. The density distribution of carriers in the ring becomes valley-polarized.

Nonequilibrium charge and valley currents. The electric current density $\vec{j} = ev \psi^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma} \psi$ has the ϕ component of the current density

$$j_{\phi}(r) = -2 \, \operatorname{Im}[R_{+}(r)R_{-}^{*}(r)]. \tag{10}$$

The total charge current is $I = \int j_{\phi} dr$. To a zero order in $W/r_0 \ll 1$ we find I=0. Only higher order corrections in W/r_0 give rise to a nonvanishing ring current. For the eigenstate specified by s, τ, n, m the lowest order correction¹⁰ to the current follows from $I_{nm}^{s\tau} = -\partial E_{nm}^{s\tau}/\partial \Phi$ using the energy spectrum in the considered limit.²⁶ For n=0, s=1 this current is equal to $I_m^{\tau} = -I_0(m + \tilde{\Phi}_{eff}^{\tau})$, where $I_0 = |e|vW/(\pi^2 r_0^2)$. For a



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Current generation in the ring by application of two HCPs polarized along mutually perpendicular directions and delayed in respect to each other by the time t_y . (b) Upper and lower figures show the total current and the valley current, respectively, generated in the graphene ring in dependence on the delay time t_y . Value of the magnetic flux is set to $\Phi = \Phi_0/\pi$, number of carriers is N=8, excitation strengths of both HCPs are equal to $\alpha=1$. Arrows at $t_y=\pi/(4r_0)t_0$ indicate the delay time for which a valley current with no total charge current is generated whereas for a delay time $t_y=\pi/(2r_0)t_0$ a total charge current with equal contributions from both valleys is generated.

ring with $r_0=1 \ \mu m$ and $W=100 \ nm$, we obtain $I_0 = 0.16 \ nA$, if $r_0=425 \ nm$ and $W=150 \ nm$ as in Ref. 11, we find $I_0=1 \ nA$. In both cases, IA is valid if $\tau_p \ll 3 \ ps$. Such HCPs are experimentally available.²¹ The total current in the ring *I* is the sum of an equilibrium (persistent) current I_{eq} and a nonequilibrium time-dependent current part $I_{neq}(t)$ generated in the ring: $I=I_{eq}+I_{neq}(t)$. The equilibrium part is given by $I_{eq}=\sum_m f_m^{\tau} J_m^{\tau}$. For T=0, it is given in Ref. 10 for N = 1, 2, 3, 4. We derive it for any N in n=0.

A nonequilibrium ring current is generated by a sequence of two time-delayed mutually perpendicular HCPs [see Fig. 2(a)], similarly to the pulse-current generation in semiconductor rings.^{27–30} This scheme allows for shorter excitation times compared to the resonant excitation schemes using circular polarized pulses.^{30–33} At t=0, we apply linearly polarized (along the x axis) pulse that creates a time-dependent charge polarization along the x axis (cf. Figure 1). The second pulse is linearly polarized along the y axis and is applied at $t=t_y$. It generates a nonequilibrium current depending on the charge polarization created by the first HCP. The delay time should be short enough so that relaxation processes are negligible in between the pulses. In the IA, the generated nonequilibrium current reads

$$I_{\text{neq}} = \alpha_y \frac{\mu_x(t_y)}{er_0} I_0 \Theta(t - t_y), \qquad (11)$$

where α_y is the excitation strength of the second HCP and $\mu_x(t_y)$ is the dipole moment created by the first HCP just before the application of the second HCP. Equation (11) delivers the total current as well as the individual currents in each of the two valleys, in which case $\mu_x(t_y)$ should be associated with the charge carriers in the respective valley. Defining the valley current as the difference between the currents flowing in two opposite valleys divided by the particle charge, we find for the generated valley current

$$I_{\text{neq}}^{v} = \alpha_{y} \frac{\mu_{x}^{+}(t_{y}) - \mu_{x}^{-}(t_{y})}{er_{0}} \frac{I_{0}}{e} \Theta(t - t_{y}).$$
(12)

On a longer time scale set by the relaxation processes, the nonequilibrium current decays due to dissipation. Thereby the incoherent electron-phonon scattering plays usually the most important role.^{29,30} Specifically for a free-standing graphene, scattering by flexural phonons is dominant at low temperatures.³⁴

An example of the dependence of the generated total charge current on the delay time, t_v is depicted in the upper panel of Fig. 2(b). The oscillating character of this dependence is determined by the dynamics of the dipole moment generated by the first HCP. The lower panel of Fig. 2(b) demonstrates the dependence of the generated valley current on the delay time t_{y} . This current arises as a consequence of the different contributions to the total dipole moment from the two different valleys in presence of a static magnetic flux (here, we used $\tilde{\Phi} = 1/\pi$) at the time moment $t = t_y$. Comparing the upper and the lower panels, we conclude that tuning the pulses delay may result in a vanishing total generated current I_{neq} while the generated valley current I_{neq}^{v} is finite. It is also possible to create $I_{neq} \neq 0$ with $I_{neq}^v = 0$. Under the conditions of Fig. 2(b) the generated currents have the same order of magnitude as the persistent currents. The nonequilibrium contributions are enhanced, however, by increasing the HCPs excitation strengths. An increase in the excitation strength α_r of the first HCP beyond the values around 1 does not lead, however, to an increase of I_{neq} (I_{neq}^{v}) under the conditions where I_{neq}^{v} (I_{neq}) vanishes because for this, certain delay times are required. In the strong excitation regime, the nonlinear oscillations of the dipole moment collapse²⁹ shortly after the excitation (cf. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) in the range $t/t_0 \in [0,2]$). For a further increase in the currents under these conditions, α_v should be increased.

The ring charge current is associated with a magnetic dipole moment via $\vec{M} = 1/2 \int \vec{r} \times \vec{j} d^2 \vec{r}$, i.e., $M = \pi \int j_{\phi} r^2 dr$. From Eqs. (10), (5), and (6), we infer for the nonvanishing lowest order of W/r_0

$$M = \pi r_0^2 I + \pi r_0^2 I_0 \sum_{sn} \frac{4s}{(2n+1)^2} Q_{sn},$$
 (13)

where $Q_{sn} = N_{sn}^+ - N_{sn}^-$ is the difference in the valley population for fixed s and n. For a vanishing total current in the ring and s=1, n=0, Eq. (13) simplifies to $M=4Q\pi r_0^2 I_0$. Note, the valley polarized magnetic moment is also a generic feature of the monolayer graphene with a broken inversion symmetry (e.g., due to the action of the substrate potential).⁸ The difference in the valley population in Eq. (13) arises in equilibrium for certain ranges of $\Phi \neq 0$. It can be also generated, e.g., by injection of external nonequilibrium carriers to the graphene ring, opening thus a way for an ultrafast detection of the valley number. Finally, we note our results are valid for weak pulses in which case a small angular population around the ground state is created and many-body effects remain subsidiary. Strong excitations go beyond the present model and the influence of many-body interactions may decisively alter the above predictions.

Conclusion. Short linearly polarized asymmetric light pulses trigger a nonequilibrium carrier dynamics in graphene rings threaded by a magnetic flux. The induced charge polarization is detectable by monitoring the emitted radiation. Delayed pulses with different polarization axes drive nonequilibrium charge currents and hence an orbital magnetization. For appropriate pulses, equal contributions from both valleys

are achievable as well as pure valley currents. The ring magnetization depends on the difference in the valley population. The predicted effect is operational in presence of tunneling allowing thus for swift injection or detection (via ring magnetization) of valley currents in coupled graphene structures, e.g., wires, offering realization of ultrafast valleytronics devices.

- *Also at A.F. Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia; andrey.moskalenko@physik.uni-halle.de
- ¹A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 109 (2009).
- ²K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, Nature (London) **438**, 197 (2005).
- ³A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nature Mater. 6, 183 (2007).
- ⁴C. W. J. Beenakker, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1337 (2008).
- ⁵S. V. Morozov, K. S. Novoselov, M. I. Katsnelson, F. Schedin, D. Elias, J. A. Jaszczak, and A. K. Geim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 016602 (2008).
- ${}^{6}H_{0}=v\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}$ where \vec{p} is the momentum operator, $\vec{\sigma}=(\sigma_{x},\sigma_{y})$ and v is the Fermi velocity. σ_{x} and σ_{y} are Pauli matrices built on the basis of the pseudospin wavefunctions corresponding to the different sublattices.
- ⁷A. Rycerz, J. Tworzydlo, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Nat. Phys. **3**, 172 (2007).
- ⁸D. Xiao, W. Yao, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 236809 (2007).
- ⁹W. Yao, D. Xiao, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B 77, 235406 (2008).
- ¹⁰P. Recher, B. Trauzettel, A. Rycerz, Y. M. Blanter, C. W. J. Beenakker, and A. F. Morpurgo, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 235404 (2007).
- ¹¹S. Russo, J. B. Oostinga, D. Wehenkel, H. B. Heersche, S. S. Sobhani, L. M. K. Vandersypen, and A. F. Morpurgo, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 085413 (2008).
- ¹²S. A. Mikhailov and K. Ziegler, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 384204 (2008).
- ¹³S. V. Syzranov, M. V. Fistul, and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. B 78, 045407 (2008).
- ¹⁴M. V. Berry and R. J. Mondragon, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 412, 53 (1987).
- ¹⁵A. R. Akhmerov and C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B 77, 085423 (2008).
- ¹⁶S. Y. Zhou, G.-H. Gweon, A. V. Fedorov, P. N. First, W. A. de Heer, D.-H. Lee, F. Guinea, A. H. Castro Neto, and A. Lanzara, Nature Mater. **6**, 770 (2007).

- ¹⁷E. Rotenberg, A. Bostwick, T. Ohta, J. L. McChesney, T. Seyller, and K. Horn, Nature Mater. 7, 258 (2008).
- ¹⁸D. S. L. Abergel, V. M. Apalkov, and T. Chakraborty, Phys. Rev. B 78, 193405 (2008).
- ¹⁹G. Giovannetti, P. A. Khomyakov, G. Brocks, P. J. Kelly, and J. van den Brink, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 073103 (2007).
- ²⁰For few-electron rings, the Coulomb interaction may influence the equilibrium properties (Ref. 18), but for a larger particle numbers, it is less significant.
- ²¹D. You, R. R. Jones, P. H. Bucksbaum, and D. R. Dykaar, Opt. Lett. **18**, 290 (1993).
- ²²R. R. Jones, D. You, and P. H. Bucksbaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1236 (1993).
- ²³For rings with $W/r_0 \ll 1$, IA requires $\tau_p \ll \hbar/\lambda_n$ for all radial channels influenced by the excitation.
- ²⁴A. Matos-Abiague and J. Berakdar, Phys. Rev. B 70, 195338 (2004).
- ²⁵We limit the considerations to the lowest radial channel of the positive energy branch, i.e., n=0 and s=1. This is achieved experimentally by applying a gate voltage.
- ²⁶We checked numerically the validity of this formula for $I_{nm}^{s\tau}$ in the limit case $W/a \ll 1$ by comparing with the general equation (10).
- ²⁷A. Matos-Abiague and J. Berakdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 166801 (2005).
- ²⁸A. Matos-Abiague and J. Berakdar, EPL **69**, 277 (2005).
- ²⁹A. S. Moskalenko, A. Matos-Abiague, and J. Berakdar, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 161303(R) (2006).
- ³⁰A. S. Moskalenko and J. Berakdar, Phys. Rev. A 78, 051804(R) (2008).
- ³¹I. Barth, J. Manz, Y. Shigeta, and K. Yagi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 7043 (2006).
- ³²K. Nobusada and K. Yabana, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 032518 (2007).
- ³³E. Räsänen, A. Castro, J. Werschnik, A. Rubio, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 157404 (2007).
- ³⁴E. Mariani and F. von Oppen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 076801 (2008).